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## Sample from COSMOS

- Parent sample: 44,000 galaxies
- Redshift range: $0.2<z<1.0$ (photo-z from llbert, 2013)
- Magnitude limit: $m_{F W 814}<23$
- Method applied to HST/ACS images (0.03"/pixel)
- Physical separation limited between 2.2 and 8 kpc
- 1547 late-stage merger candidates
- $\sim 5 \%$ of massive galaxies $\left(\log M_{*} / M_{\odot}>10.6\right)$ are late-stage mergers


## Late-Stage Mergers from COSMOS


$z=0.75$




## Testing with Mock Merger Images

- Postage stamps for two galaxies co-added to make mock merger images
- Pair members have similar photo-zs
- Co-added at fixed separations
- Cannot account for structure changes due to merger
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## Completeness

as a function of redshift


## as a function of separation



Finding a merger depends strongly on the morphology of the constituent galaxies.

## Contamination



- Contamination from chance superpositions, star-forming regions, disks with dust lanes, minor mergers
- Use flux ratio limits and detection thresholds to eliminate contamination


## Contamination



- Contamination from chance superpositions, star-forming regions, disks with dust lanes, minor mergers
- Use flux ratio limits and detection thresholds to eliminate contamination


## Contamination



- Contamination from chance superpositions, star-forming regions, disks with dust lanes, minor mergers
- Use flux ratio limits and detection thresholds to eliminate contamination
- Contamination $\sim 30 \%$ from non-mergers and minor mergers
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- Sample restricted to $\log M_{*} / M_{\odot}>10.6$, mass complete
- The per galaxy merger rate

$$
\Re_{\text {merge }}=C_{\text {merge }} f_{\text {pair }}\left\langle\frac{1}{T_{\text {obs }}}\right\rangle
$$

- Correction factor $C_{\text {merge }} \approx$ 0.7 chance super-positions $\times$ 0.67 non-mergers $\times$
$\sim 1-5$ incompleteness

$\Re_{\text {merge }} \propto(1+z)^{3.8 \pm 0.9}$
- Timescale for observing merger $1 /\left\langle 1 / T_{\text {obs }}\right\rangle \approx 0.33 \mathrm{Gyr}$
(Lotz, 2011)


## Merger Rate for Red and Blue Galaxies (I)


$\Re_{\text {merge }} \propto(1+z)^{4.5 \pm 1.3}$
Uses different corrections factors ( $C_{\text {merge }}$ ) for red and blue galaxies.

## Merger Rate for Red and Blue Galaxies (II)

- Growth in merger rate driven by SF galaxies
- Increase in fraction of SF mergers driven by increase in SF galaxy fraction
- Different samples/populations have different merging histories



## Merger Rate for Red and Blue Galaxies (II)

 without redshift dependent completeness correction- Completeness correction mainly affects SF galaxies
- Redshift evolution largely from completeness correction
- $\Re_{\text {merge }} \propto(1+z)^{0.8 \pm 0.8}$



## AGN Fraction Compared to Field Galaxies



Pair data from Silverman, 2011

- zCOSMOS + Chandra footprint
- 3481 galaxies with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{*}>2 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot} \text { and } \\
& 0.25<z<1.05
\end{aligned}
$$

- 112 late stage mergers (6 x-ray sources)
- Upper limit on AGN enhancement is 3 (median, $1.7 \pm 0.7$ )
- $20.0 \pm 0.8 \%$ of AGN activity due to mergers (incl. wide pairs)


## Late-Stage Mergers with an AGN
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## SFR in Late-Stage Mergers

late-stage mergers
$24 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ SFR

kinematic pairs
[O II] 13727 SFR


Kampczyk, 2013

- SFR enhanced by $2.1 \pm 0.6$ for late-stage mergers
- $18 \pm 5 \%$ of SF occurs in mergers; $8 \pm 5 \%$ due to mergers


## Summary

- Median filtering effectively selects galaxy near coalescence
- automated method
- requires correction for incompleteness
- Evolution of the merger rate
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## Summary

- Median filtering effectively selects galaxy near coalescence
- automated method
- requires correction for incompleteness
- Evolution of the merger rate
- $\Re_{\text {merge }} \propto(1+z)^{3.8 \pm 0.9}$
- quiescent galaxies show no evolution in merger rate
- mergers between star-forming galaxies increase with z
- AGN fraction and star formation rates enhanced by $\sim 1-3 \times$.
- at most $20 \%$ of AGN are triggered by close interactions
- $\lesssim 10 \%$ of SF triggered by merging

To $z \sim 1$, mergers are not the dominant driver of AGN or SF

## Solutions to Contaminants

Can remove most contaminants by checking detected multiple peaks:
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## Solutions to Contaminants

Can remove most contaminants by checking detected multiple peaks:

- Peaks must be within 2.2-8 kpc ( $\sim 1^{\prime \prime}$ ) Eliminates resolved pairs of sources
- $\Delta \mathrm{mag}<1.9$ for peaks in same source Corresponds to $\sim 1: 3$ merger ratio Eliminates small star-forming regions
- Peaks contain more than $\sim 2 \%$ of total galaxy flux Eliminates small star-forming regions
- Three or more peaks cannot form a line ( $\rho_{\text {pearson }}<0.5$ ) Eliminates edge-on disks
- Thresholds and ring size can be adjusted for different data


## G-M $\mathrm{M}_{20}$ and Asymmetry Selections



Pairs have slightly higher Gini coefficient, but majority are below merger criterion (Lotz, 2008).


Pairs have lower concentration values, but not very high asymmetry.

## $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Galaxies

- $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$-selected galaxies from Kartaltepe, 2009
- Extremely close pairs are 5\% of $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$-selected galaxies
- <2\% of full sample
- Agrees with SFR enhancement of $\sim 2$ - 3


