# Double yolk galaxies: late-stage galaxy mergers in COSMOS

Claire Lackner

Kavli IPMU (WPI) University of Tokyo

July 2014

arXiv 1406.2327

## Introduction

1. Finding late-stage mergers Median filtering Sample from COSMOS

 Properties of late-stage mergers Merger rates AGN fraction Star formation rates



#### Introduction

 Finding late-stage mergers Median filtering Sample from COSMOS
 Properties of late-stage mergers Merger rates AGN fraction Star formation rates



















## Sample from COSMOS

- Parent sample: 44,000 galaxies
- Redshift range: 0.2 < z < 1.0 (photo-z from llbert, 2013)
- Magnitude limit: *m*<sub>FW814</sub> < 23
- Method applied to HST/ACS images (0.03"/pixel)
- Physical separation limited between 2.2 and 8 kpc
- 1547 late-stage merger candidates
- $\sim 5\%$  of massive galaxies (log  $\textit{M}_{*}/\textit{M}_{\odot} >$  10.6) are late-stage mergers

## Late-Stage Mergers from COSMOS



# Testing with Mock Merger Images

- Postage stamps for two galaxies co-added to make mock merger images
- Pair members have similar photo-zs
- Co-added at fixed separations
- Cannot account for structure changes due to merger



# Testing with Mock Merger Images

- Postage stamps for two galaxies co-added to make mock merger images
- Pair members have similar photo-zs
- Co-added at fixed separations
- Cannot account for structure changes due to merger



# Completeness

#### as a function of redshift



#### as a function of separation



Finding a merger depends strongly on the morphology of the constituent galaxies.

# Contamination



- Contamination from chance superpositions, star-forming regions, disks with dust lanes, minor mergers
- Use flux ratio limits and detection thresholds to eliminate contamination
  - Contamination ~ 30% from non-mergers and minor mergers

# Contamination



- Contamination from chance superpositions, star-forming regions, disks with dust lanes, minor mergers
- Use flux ratio limits and detection thresholds to eliminate contamination
  - Contamination ~ 30% from non-mergers and minor mergers

# Contamination



- Contamination from chance superpositions, star-forming regions, disks with dust lanes, minor mergers
- Use flux ratio limits and detection thresholds to eliminate contamination
- Contamination ~ 30% from non-mergers and minor mergers

#### Merger Rates from Late-Stage Mergers

- Sample restricted to  $\log M_*/M_\odot > 10.6$ , mass complete
- The per galaxy merger rate

$$\Re_{\rm merge} = C_{\rm merge} f_{\rm pair} \langle \frac{1}{T_{\rm obs}} \rangle$$

- Correction factor  $C_{\rm merge} \approx$ 0.7 chance super-positions > 0.67 non-mergers × ~ 1 - 5 incompleteness
- Himescale for observing marge  $1/\langle 1/T_{\rm obs} 
  angle \approx 0.33$  Gyr (Lotz, 2011)



## Merger Rates from Late-Stage Mergers

- Sample restricted to  $\log M_*/M_\odot > 10.6$ , mass complete
- The per galaxy merger rate

$$\Re_{\rm merge} = C_{\rm merge} f_{\rm pair} \langle \frac{1}{T_{\rm obs}} \rangle$$

- Correction factor C<sub>merge</sub> ≈
   0.7 chance super-positions ×
   0.67 non-mergers ×
   ~ 1 − 5 incompleteness
- Timescale for observing merger  $1/\langle 1/T_{obs} \rangle \approx 0.33$  Gyr (Lotz, 2011)



## Merger Rates from Late-Stage Mergers

- Sample restricted to  $\log M_*/M_\odot > 10.6$ , mass complete
- The per galaxy merger rate

$$\Re_{\rm merge} = C_{\rm merge} f_{\rm pair} \langle \frac{1}{T_{\rm obs}} \rangle$$

- Correction factor C<sub>merge</sub> ≈ 0.7 chance super-positions × 0.67 non-mergers × ~ 1 − 5 incompleteness
- Timescale for observing merger  $1/\langle 1/T_{obs}\rangle\approx 0.33$  Gyr (Lotz, 2011)



# Merger Rate for Red and Blue Galaxies (I)



Uses different corrections factors ( $C_{merge}$ ) for red and blue galaxies.

# Merger Rate for Red and Blue Galaxies (II)

- Growth in merger rate driven by SF galaxies
- Increase in fraction of SF mergers driven by increase in SF galaxy fraction
- Different samples/populations have different merging histories



# Merger Rate for Red and Blue Galaxies (II)

#### without redshift dependent completeness correction

- Completeness correction mainly affects SF galaxies
- Redshift evolution largely from completeness correction
- $\Re_{
  m merge} \propto (1+z)^{0.8\pm0.8}$



#### AGN Fraction Compared to Field Galaxies



Pair data from Silverman, 2011

- zCOSMOS + Chandra footprint
- 3481 galaxies with  $M_* > 2 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$  and 0.25 < z < 1.05
- 112 late stage mergers (6 x-ray sources)
- Upper limit on AGN enhancement is 3 (median,  $1.7 \pm 0.7$ )
- 20.0  $\pm$  0.8% of AGN activity due to mergers (incl. wide pairs)

#### Late-Stage Mergers with an AGN



#### Late-Stage Mergers with an AGN



# SFR in Late-Stage Mergers

#### late-stage mergers 24 μm SFR



# kinematic pairs [O II] $\lambda$ 3727 SFR



- SFR enhanced by 2.1  $\pm$  0.6 for late-stage mergers
- 18  $\pm$  5% of SF occurs in mergers; 8  $\pm$  5% due to mergers

# Summary

- Median filtering effectively selects galaxy near coalescence
  - · automated method
  - requires correction for incompleteness
- Evolution of the merger rate
  - $\Re_{\text{merge}} \propto (1+z)^{3.8\pm0.9}$
  - quiescent galaxies show no evolution in merger rate
  - mergers between star-forming galaxies increase with z
- $\,\circ\,$  AGN fraction and star formation rates enhanced by  $\sim 1-3 \times .$ 
  - at most 20% of AGN are triggered by close interactions  $\lesssim 10\%$  of SF triggered by merging

#### To $z \sim$ 1, mergers are not the dominant driver of AGN or SF

# Summary

- Median filtering effectively selects galaxy near coalescence
  - automated method
  - requires correction for incompleteness
- Evolution of the merger rate
  - $\Re_{\mathrm{merge}} \propto (1+z)^{3.8\pm0.9}$
  - quiescent galaxies show no evolution in merger rate
  - mergers between star-forming galaxies increase with z
- AGN fraction and star formation rates enhanced by  $\sim 1 3 \times$ . at most 20% of AGN are **triggered** by close interactions  $\lesssim 10\%$  of SF **triggered** by merging

#### To $z \sim$ 1, mergers are not the dominant driver of AGN or SF

# Summary

- Median filtering effectively selects galaxy near coalescence
  - automated method
  - requires correction for incompleteness
- Evolution of the merger rate
  - $\Re_{\text{merge}} \propto (1+z)^{3.8\pm0.9}$
  - quiescent galaxies show no evolution in merger rate
  - mergers between star-forming galaxies increase with z
- AGN fraction and star formation rates enhanced by  $\sim 1-3\times.$ 
  - at most 20% of AGN are triggered by close interactions
  - $\lesssim$  10% of SF triggered by merging

To  $z \sim$  1, mergers are not the dominant driver of AGN or SF

Can remove most contaminants by checking detected multiple peaks:

- Peaks must be within 2.2-8 kpc (~ 1") Eliminates resolved pairs of sources
- Δmag< 1.9 for peaks in same source Corresponds to ~ 1:3 merger ratio Eliminates small star-forming regions
- Peaks contain more than  $\sim$  2% of total galaxy flux Eliminates small star-forming regions
- Three or more peaks cannot form a line ( $\rho_{\rm pearson} < 0.5$ ) Eliminates edge-on disks

Thresholds and ring size can be adjusted for different data

return

Can remove most contaminants by checking detected multiple peaks:

- Peaks must be within 2.2-8 kpc (~ 1") Eliminates resolved pairs of sources
- ∆mag< 1.9 for peaks in same source Corresponds to ~ 1:3 merger ratio Eliminates small star-forming regions
- Peaks contain more than  $\sim$  2% of total galaxy flux Eliminates small star-forming regions
- Three or more peaks cannot form a line ( $\rho_{\rm pearson} < 0.5$ ) Eliminates edge-on disks
- Thresholds and ring size can be adjusted for different data

return

Can remove most contaminants by checking detected multiple peaks:

- Peaks must be within 2.2-8 kpc (~ 1") Eliminates resolved pairs of sources
- Δmag< 1.9 for peaks in same source Corresponds to ~ 1:3 merger ratio Eliminates small star-forming regions
- Peaks contain more than  $\sim$  2% of total galaxy flux Eliminates small star-forming regions
- Three or more peaks cannot form a line ( $\rho_{\rm pearson} < 0.5$ ) Eliminates edge-on disks

Thresholds and ring size can be adjusted for different data

◄ return

Can remove most contaminants by checking detected multiple peaks:

- Peaks must be within 2.2-8 kpc (~ 1") Eliminates resolved pairs of sources
- Δmag< 1.9 for peaks in same source Corresponds to ~ 1:3 merger ratio Eliminates small star-forming regions
- Peaks contain more than  $\sim$  2% of total galaxy flux Eliminates small star-forming regions
- Three or more peaks cannot form a line ( $\rho_{pearson} < 0.5$ ) Eliminates edge-on disks

Can remove most contaminants by checking detected multiple peaks:

- Peaks must be within 2.2-8 kpc (~ 1") Eliminates resolved pairs of sources
- Δmag< 1.9 for peaks in same source Corresponds to ~ 1:3 merger ratio Eliminates small star-forming regions
- Peaks contain more than  $\sim$  2% of total galaxy flux Eliminates small star-forming regions
- Three or more peaks cannot form a line ( $\rho_{pearson} < 0.5$ ) Eliminates edge-on disks
- Thresholds and ring size can be adjusted for different data

return

#### G-M<sub>20</sub> and Asymmetry Selections



0.00 0.05 0.10 0.15 Asymmetry 0.20 0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40 0.20 raction 0.15 0.10 0.05 0.00 45 3.0 2.5 2.0 15 З 5 Concentration

Pairs have *slightly* higher Gini coefficient, but majority are below merger criterion (Lotz, 2008). Pairs have lower concentration values, but not very high asymmetry.

## $70\mu m$ Galaxies

- 70µm-selected galaxies from Kartaltepe, 2009
- Extremely close pairs are 5% of 70µm-selected galaxies
- < 2% of full sample
- Agrees with SFR enhancement of  $\sim 2-3$

