# HOW ARE FAR-IR GALAXIES DISTRIBUTED IN DIFFERENT ENVIRONMENTS

#### QI GUO

0000

#### NATIONAL ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATORIES, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCE

Collaborators: Cedric Lacey, Shaun Cole, Carlton Baugh, Carlos Frenk, Perder Norberg

July 18 , 2011 Durham, UK

#### **Far-IR observations**

+Half of the light is absorbed and re-emitted by dust.

Dust emission is closely related to star formation activity

UV to subMM SED of a typical Star forming galaxy



Groves et al. (2008)



PI: Steve Eales



In total: 550 sq. deg

Multi-wavelength data in SDP and Phase I (Equatorial) fields: 2dF, SDSS, GAMA, VISTA(VIKING), UKIDSS, VST (KIDS), GALEX, GMRT

#

# **SDP** field

▶4 x 4 sq. deg, 60 times of the full moon,
1/30 of the full HATLAS area

far-IR bands: 110, 170, 250, 350, 500 um

redshift up to z -3

6800 galaxies detected with >5 sigma,
 2400 with reliable matching with SDSS
 optical counterparts

970 with spectroscopic redshift from GAMA



Study the spatial distribution of H-ATLAS galaxy sample using two-point correlation functions.

However, current sample is small. Direct measurement of its clustering is hard, even worse if to study the luminosity dependence

Therefor, cross-correlate with optical selected GAMA galaxies

cross-correlation between H-ATLAS and GAMA



cross-correlation between H-ATLAS and GAMA





#### **Dependence on luminosity**

Luminosity distribution



Typical luminosity L250 = 2.5 x 10<sup>2</sup>4 W/Hz, - L\*

### **Dependence on luminosity**

cross-correlation functions between faint/bright H-ATLAS and faint/bright GAMA



### **Dependence** on luminosity

Auto-correlation functions of faint/bright GAMA



Relative bias of bright/faint H-ATLAS galaxies to bright/faint GAMA galaxies

#

罪



Relative bias of bright/faint H-ATLAS galaxies to bright/faint GAMA galaxies



Bias of optical selected galaxies (Zehavi et al. 2011, SDSS)

 $b_g(L) \times (\sigma_8/0.8) = 0.97 + 0.17 (L/L_*)^{1.04}$ 

Relative bias of bright/faint H-ATLAS galaxies to bright/faint GAMA galaxies



Bias of optical selected galaxies (Zehavi et al. 2011, SDSS)

 $b_g(L) \times (\sigma_8/0.8) = 0.97 + 0.17(L/L_*)^{1.04}$ 

13

12

14

## Host halo of H-ATLAS galaxies

	ALL	Bright	Faint
	H-ATLAS	H-ATLAS	H-ATLAS
Relative bias	0.61+/-0.68	1.04+/-0.22	0.67+/-0.13
GAMA bias	0.71+/-0.09	1.34+/-0.28	0.70+/-0.14
Host halo mass	I2.I+0.5	13.6+0.3	I2.0+0.7I
[log M]	-∞	- 0.4	-∞

&Bright H-ATLAS are more strongly clustered in comparison with faint HATLAS at the 2σ significance

Note that evolution of clustering with redshift may also contribute!

# IR galaxy distribution in groups

#

### IR galaxy distribution in groups

in progress...

#### H-ATLAS PHASE I:

10 times of the SDP field
67 k sources, 25k matched to SDSS
overlap with GAMA 09, 12 and 15
hour fields

GAMA Groups (talk by Robotham):

~12 k groups are identified in GAMA 09, 12, 15 hour fields

#### H-ATLAS in groups



# Halo mass dependence of the conditional luminosity functions

redshift range: 0.1 < z < 0.2



✦ L\* does not depend on halo mass very much

# Redshift dependence of the conditional luminosity functions

Halo mass: logM [Msun] : 13 - 13.5 (the Local group)



Strong evolution of the luminosity function of H-ATLAS galaxies in groups

## Summary

- Most of the local H-ATLAS galaxies are hosted by halos with masses comparable to that of the Milky Way
- The clustering of HATLAS galaxies is a function of luminosity: the more luminous, the stronger the correlation function.
- Strong redshift evolution of the distribution of the H-ATLAS galaxies in halos of given mass
- The total luminosity is higher in more massive halos, while the L\* only depends on halo mass very weakly.

#### **Future work:**

- Convert FIR luminosity to SFR and study the environmental dependence of star formation
- Compare to current galaxy formation models