Clues to galaxy formation from the Milky Way and Local Group

Amina Helmi



 university of groningen

faculty of mathematics and natural sciences kapteyn astronomical institute

The Milky Way and satellites in context

Traditional approach to galaxy evolution

- Distant Universe: observing galaxies as they form
- Statistical (global) properties of galaxy population

The Hubble Deep Field North

Detailed studies of Milky Way & near-field cosmology

- Representative system
- Old stars in main components: "fossils"





How did the Galaxy come to be like this?

Perrymar

- Stars retain memory of origin: Orbits; chemical abundances; age distrib
 - -> trace internal evolution
 - -> mergers leave imprints in remnant: phase-space substructure

Dynamics -> clues to history (and also about DM df)

Outline

- Milky Way and satellites
 - Relevance in a cosmological context
- Clues from the components of the Milky Way
 - Disk(s): thin and thick
 - Bulge/Bar
 - Stellar halo: history and realm of dark matter
- Satellites
 - Galaxy formation on the smallest scales
 - Dynamics and constraints on dark matter
- Summary

The (thin and thick) disk(s)

Rix's review talk Steinmetz (this afternoon)

The disk(s)

Two distinct disk populations in the solar neighbourhood
Discovered via star counts
Hz(thick)/Hz(thin) ~ 3
Normalization ~ 2 - 10% (Robin et al. 2004, Juric et al. 2008)

•Kinematically cold/hot

•Different average metallicities (cf Bensby et al. 2011)

•Distinct abundance trends and age distribution





Thin disc

 $\langle [Mg/H] \rangle = -0.009 \pm 0.012 \, dex,$

 $\langle [Fe/H] \rangle = -0.034 \pm 0.015 \, dex,$

Thick disc

 $\langle [Mg/H] \rangle = -0.207 \pm 0.049 \text{ dex},$ $\langle [Fe/H] \rangle = -0.584 \pm 0.057 \text{ dex},$

Age errors < 3 Gyr

Thin disk younger than 7-8 Gyr Thick disk older than 8-9 Gyr

Stars with thick disk kinematics follow age-metallicity relation

Stars with thin disk kinematics: No AMR

Bensby et al. 2011

Chemical Abundance ratios for thin and thick disk

Sample is volume complete (no kinematic biases)

Elemental abundance ratios clearly evidence two distinct populations

Thick disk: is enhanced in alpha-elements compared to thin disk

• Old ages >10 Gyr



Fuhrmann et al. 2008, 2011

This suggests truly physically distinct components

Thick disk formation paths

Thick disk formation simulations

• Thick disk can result from heating by minor merger of pre-existent disk (Quinn et al 1986...)



Villalobos & Helmi 2008

Mergers and the disk: thick disk?



- No strong spatial features (after few Gyr)
- Most stars originate in disk





Purcell, Kazantzidis & Bullock 2009

Volume around "Sun":

- Debris velocity distribution distinct from disk
- Characteristic "banana" shape (e.g. Helmi et al. 2006)

Galactic thick disk: formation paths++

- Accretion: purely from disrupted satellites
 - Satellites accreted on preferential directions (Abadi et al. 2003)
- Gas-rich mergers/giant SF clumps
 - Intense star formation (gas unsettled; Brook et al 2004, Bournaud et al 2008)
- Radial migration
 - (Resonance) scattering by spiral arms drives stars from inner Galaxy to solar neighbourhood (Schoenrich & Binney 2009)



A powerful test of formation: orbital eccentricity

•Stars' orbits:

pre-existing disk: fairly circularfrom satellite: eccentric

•Generic test for any model of formation: <u>e-distribution</u>

I.Whole disk by accretion > Flat

2. Pre-existing disk >
•Pronounced peak at low e
•Secondary peak at high e
(if by merger event)



Sales et al. 2009

Eccentricity distribution and models

- Integrate orbit in Galactic potential to derive e-distr for RAVE sample of stars
- Prominent peak at low ecc rules out accretion model
 - Most thick disk stars formed in-situ
- Shape appears most consistent w/merger model
 - Heating model shows second peak (not present in data; see Di Matteo et al 2010)
 - Migration model more symmetric than apparent in data

Sales et al. 2009



Wilson et al. (2011) Dierickx et al.(2010) Bulge/Bar

Structure and kinematics of the bulge

Bar / bulge is ~ 3.5 kpc long, axial ratio ~ 1:0.35:0.25 Position angle ~ 25deg from suncenter



Consistent with formation via a bar instability (Combes' review)

Best constraints on presence of classical bulge (via mergers) by Shen et al. (2010) from modeling of BRAVA data (Howard et al. 2008, 2009)



Ness et al (2011); Babusieux et al. 2010



MDFs across latitudes -5 degrees, -10 degrees





The thin disk is metal-rich and covers a wide age range The other stellar components are all relatively old (note similarity of [Fe/H] range for thick disk, globular clusters and metal-poor bulge)



Stellar halo

Why care about stellar halos?

- Most metal-poor and ancient stars
 - window into the early Universe
- Orbiting outskirts of galaxies: good mass probes
- Can form from the superposition of disrupted satellites

stars retain memory of their originmerger history

 Some fraction likely formed in-situ

 In gas rich mergers (Zolotov, Font,Tissera)
 Scattered off from disks during mergers (Purcell, Zolotov)



Outer Stellar halo

00

0 0

- Substructure common in the halo (SDSS, 2MASS...)

-> mergers

rcules-Aquila Cloud

-> Broad, diffuse streams (large progenitors? ...but beware of b overdensities -> nature not always clear

0

0

0

9



Mono

(talk by Martinez-Delgado)

Aquarius halos coupled to SA models



- 1% most bound particles represent stars/stellar pops in these objects
- Follow the history, their present-day location and dynamics (talk by Cooper)

Stellar halo formation in the Aquarius simulations

Cooper et al. 2010

Helmi et al. 2011

Aquarius on the sky





Helmi et al. 2011

Quantitative comparison

•RMS measure

stellar halos have too much substructure compared to Bell et al (2008)

•Contamination by QSOs and by non-MSTO stars leads to better agreement

•Need for 10% smooth or in-situ pop.

•30% at r ~ 19

See sims. Zolotov et al. 2009, Purcell et al. 2010, Font et al. 2011
Foregrounds (thick disk?)



Satellites



The satellites of the Milky Way: dwarf spheroidal galaxies

ercules

240°

Boötes

Belokurovet al. 2006

120°

Very faint systems: 100 – 10⁷ Lsun Dynamical mass estimates: 10⁷ – 10⁹ Msun

Most DM dominated systems known
 Dynamical modeling can neglect the effect of baryons

Probe the innermost regions (constraints on cusps vs cores)

Sculptor Ursa Major II Canes Sagittarius B (trailing arm) Venatici I us A (leading arm)

Contain very old populations windows into the early universe Reionization Relation to galactic building blocks?

Talks by Okamoto, Martin and Peñarrubia

Comparing the different types





Tolstoy, Hill & Tosi 2009

MW satellites

 Recent years huge data growth: MOS on 4m & 8mclass telescopes

> WHT: Kleyna et al (Draco, Umi); VLT: Battaglia et al (Scl, Fnx, Sex) - Koch et al. (Leo I, Leo II); Magellan & MMT: Walker et al (7 dSph); Munoz et al (Carina)

- Latest results:
 - Fairly flat velocity dispersion profiles



Walker et al (2007)

MW satellites

Latest results:

- mass scale within 0.3 kpc similar (also inside $r_{1/2}$; Wolf et al 2010)



- Indicative of a common (minimum or fundamental) mass scale?
- Expected in LCDM?



Modeling the satellites in ΛCDM

- Relevant physical processes on these scales
 - re-ionization: $z_i = 15$ to $z_f = 11.5$ (Gnedin 2000)
 - small halos (T < 10^4 K) cannot cool (inefficient coolants)
 - Feedback models need to account for shallow potential-wells

(Bullock et al. 2000, Benson et al. 2003...Maccio et al. 2010, Font et al. 2011)

• Convergence in LF; variety in abundance of satellites (driven by halo mass).



Internal properties

- Luminosity-metallicity relation
 - (also luminosity-size)
- Common mass-scale:
 - Factor 10 spread in innermost mass, a factor 10⁵ in luminosity!
 - Most of the satellites have M(r < 600 pc) in the range observed



Star formation histories

Large variety in SFH histories

Driven by mass and by time of infall

Star formation rates very low for low mass objects

Fainter satellites have higher fraction of stars formed prior/around reionization



Our model produces galaxies similar to Fnx, Car, Scl



Starkenburg et al in prep

Summary

Milky Way and satellites: unique testbeds of cosmology and galaxy evolution

Different components contain different clues to assembly history

- Thin and Thick Disk(s): distinct in all properties; unclear whether linked assembly
- Bulge/Bar: (dynamical) properties consistent with disk instability
- Stellar halo: repository of merger debris; evidence mostly in the outskirts
- Satellites: old, ancient stars, survivors of a population (building blocks), interesting dynamically for DM
- Many photometric and spectroscopic surveys
 - Important to move away from solar neighbourhood
 - Chemistry and kinematics will lead new insights (e.g. First stars, DM lumps)
 - <u>Culmination with Gaia mission</u>: launch end 2012/spring 2013
 - I billion stars out to 100 kpc with (complete) phase-space information

Thank you for your attention

