

25

CfA A recoiling BH: GW or slingshot?



F. Civano (CfA), M. Elvis (CfA), G. Lanzuisi (INAF), K. Janke (MPIA), G. Zamorani (INAF) and the COSMOS team

CID-42 (z=0.359, CXOC~J100043.1+020637) > HST/ACS image: **Only double nucleus** host out of 2600 X-ray sources in COSMOS Separation: 2.4±0.02 kpc (0.495"±0.005") > H β Broad and Narrow: $\Delta v \sim 1000$ km/s offset X-ray: redshifted Fe-K absorption line > Fe-K absorption line **changes energy by** $\Delta E \sim 500 \text{ eV}$ over 4 years.

LINE VELOCITY OFFSET >Nuclei NOT spatially resolved.

 $L_x = 10^{44} \text{ erg/s}$ $L_{bol} = 2.9 \times 10^{11} L_{sun}$ $M_{BH}(SW) = 6.5 \times 10^7 M_{sun}$ L/L_{edd} (SW) = 0.04 $SFR = 100 M_{sun}/yr$

What is going on? Two possible explanations: 1."<u>Run-Away" BH</u>: Gravitational wave recoil ejected the SMBH from the core of the galaxy just at merger, carrying with it the accretion disk and the BLR, but leaving behind the NLR. The high velocity shift requires the precursor BH to be rapidly Weakness: why varying Fe-K absorption? spinning. 2. BH ejcted by slingshot effect: in a triple BH system, 2 are merging (NE source) and the third has been ejected by slingshot effect; we look through a BAL-like highly ionized outflow from the nearer NE source at the recoiling BH. This scenario requires fast winds in obscured AGN. Not observable otherwise. BALs universal in AGNs?



ksec observations up to a flux ratio between the two

Model Predictions for recoling BHs

Cumulative Number counts (Volonteri & Madau 2008 ApJL, 687, 58) of off-nuclear AGNs detectable in the HST-COSMOS survey (solid line), CDFN (dashed). In the HST-COSMOS fields (2 deg2), ~30 sources are expected for the best case of large kicks (spinning holes), long decay timescales (no bulge), and long active phase (f=0.1, α =0.01), but less than 1 in the unfavorable cases. In the Chandra COSMOS area, models predict to find up to a few sources.



INVERTED P-CYGNI PROFILE

The most striking features in the X-ray spectra are around 5 keV (left): emission and absorption features are visible in both XMM and Chandra spectra, forming an inverted P-Cygni profile, the absorption component is *red*shifted with respect to the emission component.

Emission line: (1) neutral Fe line (2) constant flux (3) prominent in Chandra EW=570±260 eV (4) faint in XMM $EW=142\pm80$ eV.

*Absorption feature: (1) strong variability both in flux and width (2) broad $\sigma=0.22$ Gaussian line in XMM (3) unresolved in the Chandra data (σ <0.5 keV) (4) the central rest-frame energy of the absorption line is ~6 keV therefore it is a *redshifted absorption iron line*.

sources of 10:1. Marx simulations (below) show how the HRC PSF become distorted with two simulated sources at the observed distance.



Moving Fe-K Absorption Line

 \diamond Absorption feature detected at 2 to 3 σ in 8 spectra (5 XMM and 3 Chandra, top). \diamond Narrow profile in all SPECTRA (middle). \diamond The line energy peak (bottom) in XMM changes in time over the range 5.9-6.3 keV, while in Chandra it is almost constant within the errors. ♦ Broadening explained as the superimposition of narrow absorption lines *redshifted* by 0.02-0.07*c* for neutral iron (Fe I) to 0.09-0.14c for completely ionized iron (Fe XXVI). \diamond Peak variability: **deceleration** of $\Delta E=500eV$ in the first 2 years of data (10,000 km/s/yr); fast acceleration of $\Delta E=400eV$ during the last year. **Line Parameters**



