Evolution from nuclear starbursts to discs and tori in Active Galactic Nuclei

> Marc Schartmann, Andreas Burkert, Martin Krause, Richard Davies, Klaus Meisenheimer, Max Camenzind, Konrad Tristram







What drives the growth of black holes?

July 26, 2010, Durham

1. Introduction

## Seyfert activity

- otherwise normal spiral galaxies light up, when enough gas is accreted onto the centre
   core luminosity comparable to stars of whole
- core luminosity comparable to stars of whole galaxy

# Unified Scheme of Active Galactic Nuclei



central black hole
 (10<sup>6</sup> to 10<sup>10</sup> M<sub>1</sub>)

- accretion disc
- obscuring torus
- (hidden) broad line region
- narrow line region

#### Idea: better understand the distribution of gas and dust near galactic nuclei

1. Introduction

## Seyfert activity

otherwise normal spiral galaxies light up, when enough gas is accreted onto the centre
core luminosity comparable to stars of whole

galaxy



central black hole
 (10<sup>6</sup> to 10<sup>10</sup> M<sub>X</sub>)

- accretion disc
- obscuring torus
- (hidden) broad line region
- narrow line region

#### Idea: better understand the distribution of gas and dust near galactic nuclei

## 2. Motivation

- torus morphology revealed by MIDI
- find two-component structure





## 3. Radiative transfer modelling

- infer dust morphology
- parameter study for various clumping parameters in a toy model
- simultaneously account for high spatial resolution data as well as visibility information





## good idea of structural properties of tori



# 3. Radiative transfer modelling

- infer dust morphology
- parameter study for various clumping parameters in a toy model
- simultaneously account for high spatial resolution data as well as visibility information







good idea of structural properties of tori

#### However:

- Where does the gas come from?
- How are tori stabilised against gravity?
- What governs the dynamics of tori?



Hydrodynamical torus models needed, which produce similar gas morphologies

## 4. Effects of an evolving nuclear star cluster: Observations



- r < 0.5" stars spheroid, gas thin disc
- r > 0.5": kinematics of gas and stars similar, dispersion dominated

• recent SF (> few 100 Myr), short-lived • AGN switched on 50-100 Myr after starburst **40pc** 80pc 120pc 0pc 200 -0S(1) velocity & velocity (km/s) 150 stellar dispersion 100 dispersion velocity 50

1.0

radius (arcsec)

OS(1) dispersion

1.5

Davies et al. 2007

2.0

realise with hydrodynamical simulations

0.0

0.5

## 5. Global strategy



- Sample of nearby Seyfert galaxies, for which SINFONI & MIDI observations are available
- hydrodynamical simulations combine large and small scale observations
- MIDI Large Program 184.B-0832 and SINFONI proposal for P86



6. 3D Hydrodynamical simulations with PLUTO

Torus build-up and BH feeding in NGC 1068

- start after violent SN II phase, following short-duration star-burst, which built up central star cluster
- then AGB stars with slow winds main mass contributors:
  - discrete mass input
  - velocity (rotation plus random) from emitting star
  - mass loss rate (Jungwiert et al. 2001):

$$\dot{M}(t)_{\rm n} = \frac{5.55 \cdot 10^{-2}}{t + 5.04 \cdot 10^6 \,\rm{yr}}$$

 $= 9 \cdot 10^{-10} \, M_{sun} \, yr^{-1} \, M_{sun}^{-1}$ 

- effective cooling curve
- solved with PLUTO -code (Mignone et al. 2007)





Schartmann et al. 2009 & 2010

# 6. 3D Hydrodynamical simulations with PLUTO



disc extent: 0.5 to 1pc
maser disc in NGC 1068: 0.65 to 1.1pc (Greenhill & Gwinn 1997)



angular momentum distribution of gas coming into centre seems to be reasonable

- However: outer torus component in equilibrium (<2.5pc), but mass pile up in nuclear disc
  - accretion & star formation physics not included
  - very computationally extensive, only short time evolution possible



idea: 1D effective disc model for nuclear disc

## 7. 1D effective disc simulations: the model



- mass infall onto the disc from 3D hydro models
- time dependence from Jungwiert et al. 2001
- use angular momentum of mass inflow to derive radial position in a Keplerian disc

calculate viscous evolution with mass input source term and SF sink term.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Sigma(t,R) + \frac{1}{R}\frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left[ \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( v\Sigma(t,R)R^{3}\Omega'(R) \right)}{\frac{d}{dR} \left(R^{2}\Omega\right)} \right] = \dot{\Sigma}_{input}(t,R) - \dot{\Sigma}_{SF}(t,R)$$
Lin & Pringle, 1987



compare resulting disc properties (mass, size, ...) to observations

#### 7. 1D effective disc simulations: disc mass

alpha viscosity value unclear:

observations of fully ionised discs: 0.1 to 0.4 (King 2007)



alpha parameter study



• Models reproducing maser observations:

~10<sup>6</sup> M<sub>sun</sub> in clumpy disc model (Kumar 1999)

• observations of the CND in the Galactic centre: 1.3-10<sup>6</sup> M<sub>sun</sub> in molecular mass (Montero-Castano et al. 2009)

#### 7. 1D effective disc simulations: disc structure

surface density of the disc



HWHM=0.85 pc dust disc

common radial structure from MIDI observations (blue dashed lines, Kishimoto et al. 2009) 0.7 pc HWHM of hot component

#### 7. 1D effective disc simulations: current mass accretion rate



#### acc.rate @2.5pc (250Myr): 0.03 $M_{sun}/yr$

3·10<sup>-3</sup> to 3·10<sup>-2</sup> M<sub>sun</sub>/yr onto centre (?)

observations of Seyfert galaxies:  $10^{-3}$  to  $10^{-2}$  M<sub>sun</sub>/yr (Jogee, 2006)

bolometric luminosity: 
$$L_{bol} \approx 9.4 \cdot 10^{10} \left(\frac{f_{refl}}{0.01}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{D}{14.4 Mpc}\right)^2 L_{\odot} \approx 3.6 \cdot 10^{44} \frac{erg}{s}$$
  
Pier et al. 1994

assuming 100% reaches the BH: L<sub>bol</sub> = 1.8·10<sup>44</sup> erg/s (in Schartmann et al. 2005, L<sub>bol</sub> = 2.1·10<sup>44</sup> erg/s gives a good adaptation to highres. data)

- accretion from nuclear disc might be clumpy as e.g. observed in Galactic Centre (Montero-Castano et al. 2009)
- additional inflow?/outflow (scales)?

#### 8. Outlook: effects of continuum radiation pressure

- accretion flow triggers central activity
- investigate radiation feedback on the gas inflow
- inner torus not modelled

assume obscuration profile



#### 8. Outlook: effects of continuum radiation pressure

- accretion flow triggers central activity
- investigate radiation feedback on the gas inflow
- inner torus not modelled

assume obscuration profile



# 9. Conclusions

- \* observations (e.g. MIDI, SINFONI) directly show geometrically thick gas and dust structures in Seyfert cores
- dust radiative transfer models give us good idea of parameter dependencies, effect of clumpiness, shape of dust distribution, simultaneous agreement with highres SEDs & MIDI
- investigations of effects of evolving nuclear star cluster with hydrodynamical models yield two-component structure
- \* feed mass inflow into 1D disc simulations, in order to check obscuration and feeding properties on small scale as well as dynamics
- \* good agreement with observation
- \* evolving nuclear star cluster important mechanism for feeding nuclear discs and nuclear activity