# Tests of Extended Source Photometry for Bright (r=14-18) SAS galaxies

John Lucey (Durham)



Deeper and sharper images allow a new set of science projects to be carried out.

#### Outline

Science Background SAS vs SDSS Asymmetry etc parameters



What is the physical mechanism? How long does the quenching process take? Where/when does environmental quenching begin? Mass dependence?

Secular bulge growth? Disk fading?



"A snapshot of galaxy evolution occurring in the Great Wall" Gavazz et al 2010



**Global Colours!** 

"A snapshot of galaxy evolution occurring in the Great Wall", Gavazz et al 2010

Bulge-disk decomposition studies allow the details of the environmental quenching to be investigated, e.g. trends of the component colours with local density.



Hudson et al 2010

While the colours of bulge components do not depend on environment, the median colours of disks vary significantly, with disks in the cluster cores 0.10 mag redder than those at the virial radius.

Evidence for disk fading on infall ??

Lackner & Gunn (2013) SDSS imagery



Taranu et al (2013) found from detailed modelling that "the environments of rich clusters must impact star formation rates of infalling galaxies on relatively long timescales - several times longer than a typical halo spends within the virial radius of a cluster."

Minimum Requirements for Pan-STARRS Extended Source Photometry

Petrosian radii (R50 and R90) in all bands

Ellipticities and major axis position angles in all bands

Enclosed fluxes and colours vs. radius (e.g. integrated growth curves)

Radial SB and colour profiles (e.g. differential)

2d psf convolved (Exponential, de Vac., Sersic) fits

Asymmetry/Smoothness/Clumpiness/Coarseness/Bumpiness

Can reliable extended source (structural) parameters be derived from Pan-STARRS, i.e. SAS quality imagery?

**Extended Source Photometry** 

Relatively straightforward.

Many simple-to-use well-established packages, i.e. Sextractor, GALFIT, etc.

Issues

- \* sky determination
- \* deblending and masking
- \* region of fit, e.g. r < 2 R<sub>HALF</sub>
- \* choice of parametric form
- \* psf corrections to measured parameters

#### Data Sources for the Comparisons

~600 galaxies in SAS in the r-band magnitude range 14 to 18.

Extended source parameters from

SDSS DR8

PSPS SAS11 release

SDSS GALFIT (derived from postage stamps extracted from the DR8 tiles)

SAS GALFIT (derived from postage stamps extracted by Peter Draper)

Initial comparisons exponential models only.

### Pan-STARRS SAS

## SDSS DR8





1237663542610755808 expMag\_r = 18.01 expRad\_r = 1.23"

## Pan-STARRS SAS





SDSS DR8

123766354260970730 expMag\_r = 14.81 expRad\_r = 9.94"



"Typical" Variance Map for a SAS Galaxy

## SDSS DR8 vs SDSS GALFIT Exp. Fit. r\_e

(indep. sky value, masking, deblending, range and method)



SDSS GALFIT r\_e

### SDSS DR8 vs SDSS GALFIT Exp. Fit. Magnitude

(indep. sky value, masking, deblending, range and method)



SDSS GALFIT Exp. Fit. Magnitude

### SDSS DR8 vs SDSS GALFIT Exp. Fit. Axis Ratio



## SDSS DR8 vs SDSS GALFIT Exp. Fit. PA



SDSS GALFIT PA

0.8 SDSS expRad\_r SDSS expRad\_r 0.6 Axis ratio 0.4 0.2 SAS GALFIT r\_e

## SDSS DR8 vs SAS GALFIT Exp. Fit. r\_e

#### SDSS DR8 vs SAS GALFIT Exp. Fit. Magnitude



### SDSS DR8 vs SAS GALFIT Exp. Fit. Axis Ratio



#### SDSS DR8 vs SAS GALFIT Exp. Fit. PA



SAS GALFIT PA



Ugh!!

## SAS PSPS vs SAS GALFIT Exp. Fit. r\_e

### SAS PSPS vs SAS GALFIT Exp. Fit. Magnitude





SAS GALFIT Axis Ratio



SAS PSPS vs SAS GALFIT Exp. Fit. PA



PSPS smf\_expPhi

## Asymmetry, Smoothness, Clumpiness, Coarseness, Bumpiness

(4)

#### Many similar but equivalent definitions used

Following Im et al. (2002), we quantify the substructure contained within  $r = 2r_{hl}$  and define it as

$$S = R_T + R_A. \tag{2}$$

From Simard et al. (2002) we have

$$R_T = \frac{\Sigma(1/2) \left| R_{ij} + R_{ij}^{180} \right|}{\Sigma I_{ij}} - \frac{\Sigma(1/2) \left| B_{ij} + B_{ij}^{180} \right|}{\Sigma I_{ij}} \qquad (3)$$

and

$$R_{A} = \frac{\Sigma(1/2) \left| R_{ij} - R_{ij}^{180} \right|}{\Sigma I_{ij}} - \frac{\Sigma(1/2) \left| B_{ij} - B_{ij}^{180} \right|}{\Sigma I_{ij}}.$$

 $R_T$  = total residual flux  $R_A$  = asymmetric residual flux  $S = R_T + R_A$ (see Schade et al 1995, GIM2D)

Image	Model	Residual
–20.2 (E/S0)	B/T=0.68	S=0.02
A496.043251–125435		
–20.8 (SBab) A85.004312–093816	B/T=0.19	S=0.11
–21.5 (Sab) NGC 5940	B/T=0.05	S=0.29

McIntosh, Rix, Caldwell (2004)

#### Smoothness, etc, closely related to morphological type



#### Smoothness, etc, closely related to morphological type

Morphological types for Coma cluster Analysis of SDSS r-band images Elliptical (filled squares), S0 (open squares), spirals (crosses)







Elliptical (circles), SO (squares), SO/a (triangles), spirals (4-pointed stars), Irr (5-pointed stars)

"Bumpiness" parameter = ratio of the rms residuals after galaxy model subtraction

### Internal structure closely related to environment



#### Comparison of RFF derived from SDSS and SAS



## Conclusions

- 1. Reliable extended source parameters for galaxies in the r-band magnitude range 14 to 18 can be derived from SAS imagery.
- 2. Currently the PSPS extended source parameters are erroneous.
- 3. "Smoothness" derived from SDSS and SAS show good agreement.



## Possible Additional Parameters II: Sersic fit residuals



## Possible Additional Parameters II: Sersic fit residuals



