Simulations of Cosmic Structure Formation

Volker Springel

- The role of simulations in cosmology
- High-resolution N-body simulations
- Millennium XXL
- Hydrodynamic simulations and recent results for galaxy formation



Heidelberg Institute for Theoretical Studies





Universität Heidelberg USP Cosmology Conference Sao Paulo, February 2013

Cosmological simulations aim to bridge 13.6 billion years of evolution



Structure formation in the dark matter reduces to an N-body system BASIC EQUATIONS AND THEIR DISCRETIZATION

Gravitation

General theory of relativity (Newtonian approximation in an expanding space-time)

Dark matter is collisionless

Monte-Carlo integration as **N-body System**

3N **coupled**, non-linear differential equations of second order

Friedmann-Lemaitre model

$$H(a) = H_0 \sqrt{a^{-3}\Omega_0 + a^{-2}(1 - \Omega_0 - \Omega_\Lambda) + \Omega_\Lambda}$$

Collisionless Boltzmann equation with self-gravity

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}t} \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}} - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mathbf{r}}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = 0$$
$$\nabla^2 \Phi(\mathbf{r}, t) = 4\pi G \int f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}$$

Hamiltonian dynamics in expanding space-time $H = \sum_{i} \frac{p_i^2}{2 m_i a(t)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \frac{m_i m_j \varphi(\boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{x}_j)}{a(t)}$ $\nabla^2 \varphi(\boldsymbol{x}) = 4\pi G \left[-\frac{1}{L^3} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}} \tilde{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{n}L) \right]$

Problems:



N ist very large All equations are coupled with each other

Currently the fastest supercomputers carry out about ~1 Petaflop, which are one million billion floating point operations per second JUGENE IN JUELICH

N D G H Z

E

z = 48.1

$T = 0.05 \, Gyr$

500 kpc



Zooming in on dark matter halos reveals a huge abundance of dark matter substructure

DARK MATTER DISTRIBUTION IN A MILKY WAY SIZED HALO AT DIFFERENT RESOLUTION



1 Gpc/h

'Millennium' simulation Springel et al. (2005)

ΛCDM

10.077.696.000 particles m=8.6 x 10⁸ M_o/h

Why are **cosmological simulations** of structure formation **useful for studying the dark universe**?

Simulations are the theoretical tool of choice for calculations in the non-linear regime.

They connect the (simple) cosmological initial conditions with the (complex) present-day universe.

Predictions from N-body simulations:

- Abundance of objects (as a function of mass and time)
- Their spatial distribution
- Internal structure of halos (e.g. density profiles, spin)
- Mean formation epochs
- Merger rates
- Detailed dark matter distribution on large and fairly small scales
- Galaxy formation models
- Gravitational lensing
- Baryonic acoustic oscillations in the matter distribution
- Integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect
- Dark matter annihilation rate
- Morphology of large-scale structure ("cosmic web")
-

Simulations provide accurate measurements for halo abundance as a function of time

CONVERGENCE RESULTS FOR HALO ABUNDANCE

Boylan-Kolchin, Springel, White, et al. (2009)

Spherically averaged density profiles of dark matter halos have a nearly universal shape **DENSITY PROFILE AS A FUNCTION OF RADIUS**

۵

۵.

۵.

۹

10⁹

E

Simulated and observed largescale structure in the galaxy distribution

MOCK PIE DIAGRAMS COMPARED TO SDSS, 2DFGRS, AND CFA-2

Springel et al. (2006)

The baryonic wiggles remain visible in the galaxy distribution down to low redshift and may serve as a "standard ruler" to constrain dark energy

DARK MATTER AND GALAXY POWER SPECTRA FROM THE MILLENNIUM SIMULATION IN THE REGION OF THE WIGGLES

Millennium-XXL

Largest high-resolution N-body simulation

303 billion particles

L = 3 Gpc/h

~700 million halos at z=0

~25 billion (sub)halos in mergers trees

 $m_p = 6.1 \text{ x } 10^9 \text{ M}_{\odot}/\text{h}$

12288 cores, 30 TB RAM on Supercomputer JuRoPa in Juelich

2.7 million CPU-hours Angulo et al. (2011)

Different galaxy catalogues in the MXXL simulation trace the BAO features with a scale-dependent bias

POWER SPECTRA OF THE GALAXY DISTRIBUTION AT Z=0 FOR DIFFERENT SPACE DENSITIES

Angulo et al. (2012)

The mean SZ-signal in PLANCK-data for clusters of given optical richness (from the MaxBCG catalogue) is lower by a factor ~2 than expected SZ vs. OPTICAL REACHNESS MEASURED BY PLANCK COMPARED TO MODEL EXPECTATIONS

Planck collaboration (2011, paper XII)

For the subsample of clusters with individual X-ray measurements, the PLANCK-data for the SZ matches the model expectations sz vs. OPTICAL REACHNESS FOR X-RAY DETECTED CLUSTER SUBSAMPLE

Planck collaboration (2011, paper XII)

Mock catalogues from the MXXL allow a study of the expected cluster scaling relations, and their modification due to systematic effects DIFFERENT CLUSTER SCALING RELATIONS AND THEIR SCATTER

Systematic effects that influence the scaling relations:

- Sample selection
- Spurious cluster identification
- Miscentering
- Contamination due to line-ofsight foreground structures

The biases introduced in the measured relations can quantitatively account for the difference detected in the PLANCK analysis

Lx/SZ vs. OPTICAL REACHNESS FOR DIFFERENT SAMPLES

Angulo, Springel, White, Frenk, Jenkins & Baugh (2012)

Dynamics of structure formation in baryonic matter BASIC EQUATIONS

Astrophysical plasmas are extremely thin, with (usually) negligible viscosity

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho_c}{\partial t} &+ \frac{1}{a} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_c(\rho_c \boldsymbol{v}) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial (\rho_c \boldsymbol{v})}{\partial t} &+ \frac{1}{a} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_c[(\rho_c \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}^T + P_c) \boldsymbol{v}] = -H(a) \rho_c \boldsymbol{v} - \frac{\rho_c}{a^2} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_c \Phi_c \\ \frac{\partial (\rho_c e)}{\partial t} &+ \frac{1}{a} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_c[(\rho_c e + P_c) \boldsymbol{v}] = -2H(a) \rho_c e - \frac{\rho_c \boldsymbol{v}}{a^2} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_c \Phi_c \\ \boldsymbol{\nabla}_c^2 \Phi_c &= 4\pi G \left[\rho_c(\boldsymbol{x}) - \overline{\rho_c}\right] \end{aligned}$$

Euler equations of inviscid ideal gas dynamics

Important hydrodynamical processes

Shock waves Turbulence Radiative transfer Magnetic fields Star formation Supernova explosions Black holes, etc... The *MassiveBlack* simulation is the largest astrophysical SPH simulation to date **TRACKING THE FORMATION OF THE FIRST QUSARS ON A PETAFLOP MACHINE**

- > 2 x 3200³ ~ 65.5 billion particles
- 533 Mpc/h box
- > 10⁵ cores on Kraken (Cray XT-5)
- Multi-threaded P-GADGET3 code

Hydrodynamical simulations aim to predict:

- Morphology of galaxies
- Fate of the diffuse gas, WHIM, metal enrichment
- X-ray atmospheres in halos
- Turbulence in halos and accretion shocks
- Large-scale regulation of star formation in galaxies through feedback processes from stars and black holes
- Transport processes (e.g. conduction)
- Radiative transfer
- Dynamical transformations (e.g. ram-pressure stripping)
- Magnetic fields

A long standing issue in galaxy formation theory: The shapes of the CDM halo mass function and the galaxy luminosity function are very different THE OBSERVED LF COMPARED TO THE SHAPE OF THE CDM HALO MASS FUNCTION

van den Bosch et al. (2004)

Abundance matching gives the expected halo mass – stellar mass relation in ACDM

STELLAR MASSES FROM SDSS/DR7 MATCHED TO ACDM SIMULATION EXPECTATIONS

Assumption:

Stellar mass is monotonically increasing with halo mass

Guo, White & Boylan-Kolchin (2010)

Current cosmological hydrodynamic simulations have trouble to explain such a low galaxy formation efficiency GALAXY FORMATION EFFICIENCY AS A FUNCTION OF HALO MASS

Guo, White & Boylan-Kolchin (2010)

Sawala & White (2010)

Future progress with cosmological simulations requires....

Better resolution (more computing power...)

Higher accuracy of numerical codes

More complete and realistic physics models

Trouble ahead in the Exaflop regime ?

How long would the Millennium-XXL take on a Exaflop Supercomputer at peak performance?

15 min

in

One of the main problems: *Power Consumption*

Petaflop Computer: 6 MW

Exaflop Computer: ~ GW ?

Need to get this down to 20-40 MW

A cloud moving through ambient gas shows markedly different longterm behavior in SPH and Eulerian mesh codes

KELVIN-HELMHOLTZ INSTABILITIES

Agertz et al. (2007)

Voronoi and Delaunay tessellations provide unique partitions of space based on a given sample of mesh-generating points BASIC PROPERTIES OF VORONOI AND DELAUNAY MESHES

Voronoi mesh

Delaunay triangulation

both shown together

Each Voronoi cell contains the **space closest** to its generating point

The Delaunay triangulation contains only triangles with an **empty circumcircle**. The Delaunay tiangulation maximizes the minimum angle occurring among all triangles.

The centres of the circumcircles of the Delaunay triangles are the vertices of the Voronoi mesh. In fact, the two tessellations are the topological **dual graph** to each other.

The fluxes are calculated with an exact Riemann solver in the frame of the moving cell boundary SKETCH OF THE FLUX CALCULATION

A differentially rotating gaseous disk with strong shear can be simulated well with the moving mesh code

MODEL FOR A CENTRIFUGALLY SUPPORTED, THIN DISK

 $\Sigma(r) = \Sigma_0 \exp(-r/h)$

$$v_c^2(r) \equiv r \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} = 2 \frac{Gm}{h} y^2 \left[I_0(y) K_0(y) - I_1(y) K_1(y) \right]$$

The moving-mesh code deals well will problems that involve complicated shock interactions woodward & colella's INTERACTING DOUBLE BLAST PROBLEM

Interacting shock waves reveal significant differences in vorticity production TWO-DIMENSIONAL IMPLOSION PROBLEM

Sijacki et al. (2011)

But in the end: **Does it matter for cosmological simulations?**

Moving-mesh cosmology: First applications of AREPO

Mark Vogelsberger Debora Sijacki Dusan Keres Paul Torrey Lars Hernquist Volker Springel

4 new papers, astro-ph (2011)

20 Mpc/h box, WMAP7 cosmology

Resolutions: 2 x 128³, 2 x 256³, 2 x 512³

AREPO and GADGET runs

equal physics, equal gravity solver

Andreas Bauer & VS (2011)

Subsonic turbulence in moving-mesh and SPH

Thomas Greif, VS, et al. (2011)

Population III star formation

On large scales, the code produces similar results as standard SPH techniques GAS AND TEMPERATURE FIELDS IN A COSMOLOGICAL HYDRODYNAMIC SIMULATION

But on small scales, galaxy morphologies look very different

AREPO:

Projected gas densities in matching AREPO and SPH halos

SPH:

GADGET <u>5 kpc</u> gas z= 2	<u>5 kpc</u>	<u>5 kpc</u>	5 kpc	<u>5 kpc</u>	<u>5 kpc</u>
galaxy-id=01	galaxy-id=02	galaxy-id=03	galaxy=d=04	galaxy-id=05	galaxy-id=06
5 kpc	5 <u>kpc</u>	5 kpc	<u>5 kpc</u>	5 kpc	5 kpc
galaxy-id=07	galaxy-id=08	galaxy-id=09	galaxy-id=10	galaxy-id=11	galaxy-id=12

AREPO:

Projected stellar densities in matching AREPO and SPH halos

SPH:

GAD stars z=2	GET 2.5 kpc	2.5 kpc	2. <u>5 kpc</u>	2 <mark>.5 kpc</mark>	2 <u>.5 kpc</u>	2.5 kpc
			•			
	galaxy-id=01	galaxy-id=02	galaxy-id=03	galaxy-id=04	galaxy-id=05	galaxy-id=06
	2.5 kpc	2.5 kpc	2. <u>5 kpc</u>	2. <u>5 kpc</u>	2.5 kpc	2.5 kpc
					1	
	galaxy-id=07	galaxy-id=08	galaxy-id=09	galaxy-id=10	galaxy-id=11	galaxy-id=12

Compared with SPH, the cosmic star formation rate density is higher in AREPO at low redshift

SFR-DENSITY AS A FUNCTION OF REDSHIFT FOR DIFFERENT RESOLUTIONS AND CODES

Vogelsberger et al. (2011)

Compared with SPH, the cosmic star formation rate density is higher in AREPO at low redshift

SFR-DENSITY AS A FUNCTION OF TIME FOR DIFFERENT RESOLUTIONS AND CODES

The difference in star formation originates in massive halos STAR FORMATION RATE AS A FUNCTION OF HALO MASS

Gasous disk scale lengths are much larger in the moving-mesh code DISK SCALE LENGTHS AND ANGULAR MOMENTUM IN GADGET AND AREPO

Torrey et al. (2011)

Satellite mass loss and orbitial decay is different in SPH and AREPO FIDUCIAL GAS BLOBS IN ORBIT IN A CLUSTER

Sijacki et al. (2011)

Clumpy gas distribution around Aquila galaxy in GADGET GAS BLOBS IN ORBIT AROUND AQUILA AT DIFFERENT TIMES AND RESOLUTIONS

Also seen, e.g, in ERIS (Guedes et al., 2011)

Smooth gas distribution around Aquila galaxy in AREPO GAS IN THE HALO AT DIFFERENT TIMES AND RESOLUTIONS

How do galaxies get their gas?

"COLD ACCRETION" HAS BEEN SUGGESTED AS DOMINANT MODE EVEN FOR LARGE HALOS

Keres et al. (2005, 2009)

There are marked differences in cold vs. hot accretion for massive galaxies PAST MAXIMUM TEMPERATURE OF GAS ACCRETED ONTO CENTRAL GALAXIES

Nelson et al. (2012)

There are marked differences in cold vs. hot accretion for massive galaxies DISTRIBUTION OF PAST MAXIMUM TEMPERATURE OF ACCRETED GAS AT Z = 2

The relative importance of "hot" and "cold" modes of accretion are different for massive halos

ACCRETION RATES OF HOT AND COLD GAS AS A FUNCTION OF HALO MASS AT Z = 2

At **the virial radius**, only moderate differences in the gas flow are seen ALL-SKY MAPS OF GAS PROPERTIES AROUND A TYPICAL log(M)=11.5 HALO AT Z=2

Nelson et al. (2012)

Summary points

- Direct numerical simulations have become indispensable for studying the non-linear growth of structures in ACDM and modified gravity cosmologies.
- Current numerical techniques allow high-resolution simulations with an unprecedented dynamic range.

One presently reaches N>10¹¹, with a dynamic range of $10^5 - 10^7$ in 3D.

- Understanding galaxy formation physics remains a serious challenge in ΛCDM, both at the faint and the bright end.
- New moving-mesh techniques provide an accurate alternative technique for structure formation simulations. First results suggest that they are of great help to arrive at more reliable predictions for galaxy formation.