

Linking galaxy structural and star formation properties to black hole activity with IllustrisTNG

Melanie Habouzit (Flatiron PostDoc Fellow, CCA)

Rachel Somerville, Shy Genel, Dale Kocevski, Avishai Dekel, Michaela Hirschmann, Ena Choi, and the IllustrisTNG team.

Durham, 2018, Are AGN special?

Keys goals of galaxy evolution today is to understand how <u>massive passively evolving</u> <u>galaxies</u> observed at low-redshift obtained their present-day properties.

- How and when did the quiescent galaxies form ?
- How did they grow their central SMBHs ?
- What are the physical processes responsible for quenching their star-formation activity ?





log₁₀ sSFR (Gyr⁻¹)

Extended star-forming galaxies (eSF)

Disk-like morphologies, irregular, clumpy.

Elmegreen & Elmegreen 2005, Elmegreen 2007, Kriek et al. 2009, Guo et al. 2012



→ $\log_{10} \Sigma (M_{\odot}/pkpc)$ with Σ = 0.5 M_{*}/πr²



Compact quiescent galaxies (cQ)

Compact elliptical, suppressed SFR, small sizes.

Szomoru et al. 2011, Bell et al. 2012, Williams et al. 2010, Wuyts et al. 2011

log₁₀ sSFR (Gyr⁻¹)

Extended star-forming galaxies (eSF)

Disk-like morphologies, irregular, clumpy.

Elmegreen & Elmegreen 2005, Elmegreen 2007, Kriek et al. 2009, Guo et al. 2012



Barro+13

Compact star-forming galaxies (cSF)

Large stellar mass, spheroid-like structures, more compact, heavily obscured SF.

Wuyts et al. 2011, Petel et al. 2012, Stefanon et al. 2013, Williams et al. 2013, Barro et al. 2013

> → $\log_{10} \Sigma (M_{\odot}/pkpc)$ with Σ = 0.5 M_{*}/πr²



Compact quiescent galaxies (cQ)

Compact elliptical, suppressed SFR, small sizes.

Szomoru et al. 2011, Bell et al. 2012, Williams et al. 2010, Wuyts et al. 2011

Galaxies with redshift $1 \le z \le 3$

\log_{10} sSFR (Gyr⁻¹) Extended star-forming galaxies Compact star-forming galaxies (eSF) (cSF) Large stellar mass, spheroid-like Disk-like morphologies, irregular, clumpy. structures, more compact, heavily obscured SF. Elmegreen & Elmegreen 2005, Wet mergers, disk instability Elmegreen 2007, Kriek et al. 2009, Dekel+09,+13,Barnes+91, Wuyts et al. 2011, Petel et al. 2012, Guo et al. 2012 Stefanon et al. 2013, Williams et al. 2013, Hopkins+06, Porter+14, Barro et al. 2013 Zolotov+15, Wellons+15 $\rightarrow \log_{10} \Sigma (M_{\odot}/pkpc)$ with $\Sigma = 0.5 M_*/\pi r^2$? Compact quiescent galaxies (cQ) Compact elliptical, suppressed SFR, small sizes. Szomoru et al. 2011, Bell et al. 2012, Williams et al. 2010, Wuyts et al. 2011

Number density, mass, size, SFR, qualify compact SF galaxies as the <u>likely progenitors</u> of the compact quiescent galaxies.

Fontana+09, Ilbert+10, Brammer+11, Muzzin+13, Barro+13



Number density, mass, size, SFR, qualify compact SF galaxies as the <u>likely progenitors</u> of the compact quiescent galaxies.

Fontana+09, Ilbert+10, Brammer+11, Muzzin+13, Barro+13



Observational evidence for a high fraction of AGN among compact star-forming galaxies Clumpy and compact galaxies at z~0.11 harbor a high AGN fraction in SDSS Trump+13

Compact star-forming galaxies in GOODS-S at z~2 more likely to host bright AGN Barro+14

40% of compact star-forming galaxies in 1.4 \leqslant z \leqslant 3 in the candels fields host an AGN Kocevski+17

Number density, mass, size, SFR, qualify compact SF galaxies as the <u>likely progenitors</u> of the compact quiescent galaxies.

Fontana+09, Ilbert+10, Brammer+11, Muzzin+13, Barro+13



Observational evidence for a high fraction of AGN among compact star-forming galaxies Clumpy and compact galaxies at z~0.11 harbor a high AGN fraction in SDSS *Trump+13*

Compact star-forming galaxies in GOODS-S at z~2 more likely to host bright AGN Barro+14

40% of compact star-forming galaxies in 1.4 \leqslant z \leqslant 3 in the candels fields host an AGN Kocevski+17

<u>GOALS</u>:

- Connections between compact SF and compact quiescent galaxies ?
- Dependence of AGN fraction on a galaxy's location of the sSFR-compactness diagram ?
- Role of AGN feedback in quenching galaxies ?

Cosmological hydro simulations IllutrisTNG100 and IllustrisTNG300

Redshfit z=127-0 $m_{DM} = 7.5 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}, m_{DM} = 60 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ $m_{gas} = 11 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}, m_{gas} = 1.4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ Radiative cooling and photoheating by UV background. Star formation and SN feedback, metal enrichment. Black hole formation, Bondi accretion. <u>2 mode AGN feedback</u>: quasar (thermal) mode, and efficient radio (kinetic) mode, transition between modes depends on BH mass and BH Eddington ratio. *More details in Pillepich+17b, Weinberger+17,a,b* Galaxy sizes in r-band (projected) from *Genel+17*

Cosmological hydro simulations IllutrisTNG100 and IllustrisTNG300

Redshfit z=127-0 $m_{DM} = 7.5 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}, m_{DM} = 60 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ $m_{gas} = 11 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}, m_{gas} = 1.4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ Radiative cooling and photoheating by UV background. Star formation and SN feedback, metal enrichment. Black hole formation, Bondi accretion. <u>2 mode AGN feedback</u>: quasar (thermal) mode, and efficient radio (kinetic) mode, transition between modes depends on BH mass and BH Eddington ratio. *More details in Pillepich+17b, Weinberger+17,a,b* Galaxy sizes in r-band (projected) from *Genel+17*

Observations from the candels fields (GOODS-S, UDS, EGS, GOODS-N, *Grogin+11*)

Galaxies with 10^{10} M $_{\odot}$ selected in H $_{160}$ band, sizes measured with GALFIT (*Peng+02*) and the HST/WFC3 H-band images. K correction to correct to rest-frame r band.

AGN sample from Kocevski+17 (Xue+11, Xue+16, Nandra+15).

→ 3208 galaxies in 1.4 \leq z \leq 3, among which 313 X-ray selected AGN with Lx \geq 10⁴² erg/s.

Population of black holes in IllustrisTNG

- → Overall good agreement with observational constraints, but faint AGN are overproduced, and bright AGN underproduced.
- \rightarrow Massive BHs have lower Edd ratio than observed ones, due to effective kinetic feedback.

Population of black holes in IllustrisTNG

- → Massive BHs of ~10⁸ M_{\odot} transition from the thermal mode to the very efficient kinetic mode.
- \rightarrow <u>Thermal AGN feedback mode</u>: continuous injection of thermal energy into BH surroundings.
- → <u>Kinetic AGN feedback mode</u>: pulsed and directed injected of momentum.

Time evolution of the populations of simulated and observed galaxies

- ightarrow Good agreement of the main sequence of simulated and observed galaxies.
- \rightarrow Good agreement of the sSFR-compactness diagram.
- → Identify galaxy types (eSF, cSF, eQ, cQ) with sample- and redshift-dependent thresholds based on SFR (25% of the main sequence), and Σ_e (25% of the main relation for quiescent galaxies).

The full story for an individual TNG galaxy

 \rightarrow We identify massive quiescent galaxies at z=0, and trace them back in time.

- 1. <u>Compaction</u> while the galaxy is still star-forming.
- 2. Peak of SFR and AGN activity, corresponds to a minimum of the galaxy size.
- 3. Massive BH enters the efficient kinetic mode of AGN feedback.
- 4. <u>Quenching</u>: SFR and BH activity are surppressed.

Dependence of AGN fraction on a galaxy's location of the sSFR- Σ_e diagram

Dependence of AGN luminosity

Galaxies with $M_* = 10^{9.5} M_{\odot}$ Hexabins color coded by BH hard (2-10 keV) X-ray luminosity.

- → Compact star-forming galaxies host BHs with higher X-ray luminosity.
- → Compact quiescent galaxies host very faint AGN, or quiescent BHs.

Dependence of AGN fraction on a galaxy's location of the sSFR- Σ_e diagram

Moving to AGN fraction: Correction for Obscured AGN

- → We follow Ueda et al. 2014, Merloni et al. 2014
- → Build a redshift-dependent model with anti-correlation between the obscured AGN fraction and BH X-ray luminosity.
- → Most likely also depends on galaxy structural properties. See Chang+17, galaxy with obscured AGN are more compact.

Dependence of AGN fraction on a galaxy's location of the sSFR- Σ_{e} diagram

9 10 11

- \rightarrow More compact SF galaxies host AGN than the compact quiescent galaxies, and they are brighter.
- Qualitatively in good agreement with observations. \rightarrow

Trump+13, Barro+14, Kocevski+17

 \rightarrow Good quantitative agreement in the amplitude of the AGN fractions in the simulation and the candels observations, but strongly depends on the correction for obscured AGN.

- \rightarrow 20% of the **cSF** host a X-ray AGN in the simulation, 13-16% in the observations.
- \rightarrow Only 6-9% of the simulated **cQ** do so, 9-10% in the observations.

The BH luminosity distribution: large discrepancy between observations and TNG.

- → AGN luminosity distributions of simulated cQ galaxies peak at one order of magnitude lower luminosities than cSF.
- → However, luminosity distributions are similar in the candels observations.

Linking galaxy structural and star formation properties to BH activity with IllustrisTNG *Habouzit et al., to be submitted*

- Properties of simulated and observed (candels) galaxies in good agreement for the SFR-M_{*}, sizes-M_{*}, and sSFR-Σ_e relations.
- Population of simulated BHs consistent with observational constraints, <u>but</u> the faint-end of the LF may be overproduced, and the bright-end underproduced due to the very efficient radio (kinetic) AGN feedback.
- Carried out a **self-consistent comparison**, using sample- and redshift-dependent selection thresholds to identify galaxy types in both observations and simulations.
- <u>Qualitative agreement</u> with observations: compact star-forming galaxies host *more and brighter* AGN than compact quiescent galaxies.
- <u>Quantitative agreement on the AGN fractions</u> when we apply our luminosity- and redshiftdependent model for the fraction of obscured AGN.
- Implication for the **role of AGN feedback** in quenching galaxies.