# Obscuration/orientation effects in a sample of 0.5<z<1 3CRR sources observed by Chandra

their intrinsically bright, multi-wavelength emission, an unknown fraction of active galactic nuclei (AGN) remain obscured, thei invisible due to orientation-dependent obscuration by massive amounts of material. One way to select AGN samples that are orientation d (although limited to radio-loud sources) is low frequency radio, where the selection is based on extended radio lobes. Radio ovide an independent estimate of orientation via the radio core fraction. invisible due to orientatio d (although limited to rad

radio core fractions, indica (Lx~43-45), a wide range of g N<sub>H</sub> < 22) a ver radio core

# 1. Sample

The 3CRR catalog (Laing et al. 1983) includes 180 radio sources (quasars and radio galaxies) up to z=2.5 and is complete to a flux of 10 Jy at 178MHz. At low frequencies radio emission is dominated by emission from radio lobes (which are optically thin and emit nearly isotropically), resulting in a sample with little/no orientation bias.

We focus here on a complete, medium redshift (0.5 < z < 1) 3CRR sample of 36 radio sources (13 quasars, 22 NLRGs and 1 LERG; includes 8 compact steep-spectrum sources - CSS). Great sample to study orientation effects in AGN (but only 10% of AGN are radio-loud).

### All sources are FRIIs = all are AGN.

Radio-core fraction  $R_{CD} = \frac{L_{core}(5GHz)}{L_{ext}(5GHz)}$ provides an estimate of orientation.

We compare this sample with the high-z (1<z<2) 3CRR sample (38 sources) from Wilkes et al. (2013).

# 3. HR vs. N<sub>H</sub>



" = 1.9 + 1%, 5% or 20% intrinsic AGN light is

#### HR becomes harder with increasing $N_H$

Five high  $N_{\rm H}$  NLRGs (3C172,184,230,265,330) lie off the absorbed power law curves and require additional soft excess emission from either scattered intrinsic light, extended X-ray emission or jet emission.

# 6. Correlations with R<sub>CD</sub>





6 The ratio of observed X-ray to total radio luminosit insic equivalent hydrogen column density N<sub>i</sub>, estimated tral fits (*right*) as a function of the radio core fraction

Strong dependence between R<sub>CD</sub> and L<sub>x</sub>(obs)/L<sub>radio</sub> and  $N_{\rm H}$  -> consistent with orientation dependent obscuration as in Unification models.

But 5 low N<sub>H</sub> (<10<sup>22</sup>) NLRGs don't fit, as NLRGs with a large range of N<sub>H</sub>(int) =  $10^{21.0-23.5}$  exist at similar viewing angles (-3 < log R<sub>CD</sub> < -2).

Low  $N_{H}$  NLRGs show: high  $L_X/L_{radio},$  soft HR, low mid-IR (30  $\mu m)$  emission, and low specific star formation (Westhues et al. 2016).

The medium-z 3CRR sample possibly has a range of L/  $L_{Edd}$  ratios extending to lower values than in the high-z sample. At lower L/L<sub>Edd</sub> clouds with a range of N\_H may exist (extending to lower  $N_{\rm H}$  - Fabian et al. 2008) and the dusty torus becomes clumpier and puffier (Ricci+ 2018) resulting in lower mid-IR emission



Quasars and NLRGs match in L<sub>radio</sub> (1dex range).

Do not match in observed hard X-ray luminosities. NLRGs are 10-1000x fainter than quasars —> larger obscuration in NLRGs.

# 2. Hardness Ratios (HR)



ness ratios (calculated NLRGs (red) Fig.2 Histograms of the X-ray hardne using BEHR) for quasars (blue) and N

H - SHardness ratio: HR =H + SH - hard (2-8keV) counts S - soft (0.5-2keV) counts

Quasars: X-ray bright, soft HR -> low obscuration i.e.  $N_{\rm H}$ 

NLRGs: X-ray faint, wide range of HR -> range of N<sub>H</sub>

5. N<sub>H</sub> distribution



Higher obscuration -> lower observed Lx, harder HR

Lowest L<sub>x</sub> (highly obscured) sources require an additional softer component.

HR is not a good indicator of  $N_{\rm H}$  at high obscuration.

For ~20% of high-z sources Lx is underestimated by 10-10<sup>3</sup> when using HR for N<sub>H</sub> correction. This will result in lower obscured fraction and steeper LF.



NLRG(Type1 r=1.0, z=1 r=1.0, z=2 r=1.0, z=2



, as a function asured L[OIII] val Grimes

L([OIII]) tracks radio and intrinsic X-ray luminosities in broad and narrow-lined AGN and is often used as an indicator of intrinsic Lx (Jackson & Rawlings 1997, Mulchaey+ 1994).

High L([OIII])/L(2-8keV) and high L( $30\mu$ m)/ L(2-8keV) suggest a Compton-thick (CT) source.

We find 6 (3C 220.3\*,280,330,427.1,343,441) CT + 2 borderline CT candidates = 22% of the medium-*z* sample (similar to 25% at high-*z* sample).

\*3C 220.3 (z=0.685) is lensing a submm galaxy with a hidden quasar at z=2.221 (Haas et al. 2015).



Quasars: low  $N_H < 10^{22.5}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> in both samples.

NLRGs:  $N_{\rm H} > 10^{22.5} \, {\rm cm}^{-2}$  (high-z)  $N_{\rm H} > 10^{21} \, {\rm cm}^{-2}$  (medium-z)

Note the 5 low  $N_H$  NLRGs (=1/4 of all NLRGs) in medium-z sample not present at high-z.

## Summary

We study a complete, medium redshift (0.5 < z < 1), low frequency (178MHz) radio selected, and so unbiased by orientation, sample of 3CRR sources which includes: 13 quasars, 22 NLRGs and 1 LERG matched in L<sub>radio</sub>.

Quasars have high Lx(2-8keV), soft hardness ratios, and high  $R_{\text{CD}}$  implying low obscuration (N<sub>H</sub> <  $10^{22.5}\text{cm}^{-2}$ ) and face-on inclination.

NLRGs have 10-1000x lower observed  $L_X(2-8keV)$ , a wide range of hardness ratios, and low  $R_{CD}$  implying wide range of obscuration (NH  $> 10^{20.5} cm^{-2}$ ) and high inclination angles

The observed trend of increasing obscuration with decreasing radio core fraction  $R_{CD}$  is consistent with orientation-dependent obscuration as in Unification models. However, a population of low  $N_{\rm H}$  (<10^{22}) NLRGs (14% of sample), is found at similar viewing angles as NLRGs with higher  $N_{\rm H}$  (10<sup>22-23.5</sup>). This implies a wider range of L/L<sub>Edd</sub> ratios in the medium-z sample (extending to lower ratios) than in the high-z sample.

8 NLRGs (22% of sample) show CT L([OIII])/L(2-8keV) and/or L(30 $\mu m)/L(2-8keV)$  ratios.

The ratio of unobscured ( $N_H < 10^{22}$ ) to obscured ( $N_H > 10^{22}$ ) sources is 1 (same for high-z). Unobscured/Compton-thin/Compton-thick ratio=2:1.5:1 (high-z sample: 2.5:1.4:1)