Lensing Twins: probing galaxy formation with SLACS & OWLS. Oscar Antuñano Vaquero¹, Léon Koopmans¹ and Joop Schaye². 1:Kapteyn Astronomical Institute 2:Leiden Observatory





vaquero@astro.rug.nl

Purpose: Obtain insight on galaxy formation by comparing gravitational lensing data with cosmological simulations

We focus on the matter distribution and the influence of feedback. Strong and weak lensing data give information on different scales. OWLS simulations (Schaye et al. 10) cover different galaxy formation scenarios.



Sloan Lens ACS Survey DATA (www.slacs.org)

- SLACS strong lenses are selected from the SDSS database for the presence of two galaxies along the line of sight, the lens galaxy being a massive early-type galaxy.

- The sample of lenses consist of 22 early-type galaxies, and have weak and strong lensing data and stellar velocity dispersions (Gavazzi et al. 07). Mean values of

Stellar masses are also available from Auger et al. 10.



OWLS SIMULATIONS Schaye et al. 10

Up to 50 simulations, from which we select 16 Dark matter & gas

REF model WMAP3 set cosmological parameters Z cooling el.-by-el. calculation ISM polytropic e.o.s. Index 4/3 Chabrier IMF SNe feedback



Twin: main halo from OWLS with the mass inside the einstein's radius (Mein) and effective radius (Reff) inside the error range of a strong lens galaxy.

COMPARISON DATA/SIMULATIONS

We randomly pick 100 twins (repeats are possible) to have 100 samples of sets of twins, and we plot the 100 average excess surface density profiles.

Note that some lenses may not have twins.

Average excess surface density profiles of the 100 samples of twins and datapoints from Gavazzi et al. 07. Stars are in red, dark matter in the total is in black.

The simulations inside the green boxes fit



Gavazzi et al. 07 22

 $\Delta \Sigma(R) = \Sigma(\langle R \rangle - \Sigma(R))$



2xN³ particles. N 512 max. $m_{\rm dm} = 4.1 \times 10^8 h^{-1} M_{\odot}$ $m_{\rm g} = 8.7 \times 10^7 \ h^{-1} M_{\odot}$ $L=100 h^{-1} \text{ Mpc max}$

Different physical processes included in each simulation.

SIMULATIONS OUTPUTS

We use both snapshots and subfind catalogues.

For the weak lensing signal we use the snapshots and for the scaling relations the subfind catalogues..



Variations

NOZCOOL: primordial NOSNNOZCOOL: primordial+no feedback EOS: Index 1 **DBLIMFCONT**: Top-Heavy AGN: Sne + AGN feedback WDENS: density dependent feedback. WPOT, WVCIRC: momentum driven winds MILL: millenium simulation set cosmological parameters SNIaGauss: gaussian time delay NOSN: no feedback **REFL50**: boxsize of 50 Mpc h⁽⁻¹⁾ WML4, WML1V848: more SNe feedback.





Histograms of inner slopes a of the twins for the DM (black dashed line) and for the sum of DM and stars (solid line). We plot also a solid gaussian with intrinsic spread of SLACS lenses (Koopmans et al. 09, Auger et al. 10) for

We select DM halos from an OWLS n-body simulation (100 Mpc boxsize also) with the the twins and we plot the histogram of inner slopes a of these halos (red dashed line) to see the effect of baryons on dark matter.



By construction, the quantity we are probing here is the stellar velocity dispersion.

Black: lenses

halos





line goes through the median values for visual aid. The twins differentiated are with a red triangle.

in

Note here that the observed stellar mass fraction for a lens is not compared with the mean value of their twins.

FINAL REMARKS

Weak lensing and stellar fractions of strong lenses can constrain galaxy formation scenarios. Simulations with density dependent feedback and halo dependent feedback do better. Scaling relations do not match the observed ones. Halos and the 22 lenses are not the same objects. Resolution effects can influence the results.

Baryons make the dark matter profiles steeper. The effect is clear, although difficult to model.

For more information:

vaquero@astro.rug.nl